arXiv:1601.01757v1 [math.DS] 8 Jan 2016

ON LEBESGUE NONLINEAR TRANSFORMATIONS

NASIR GANIKHODJAEV, MANSOOR SABUROV, AND RAMAZON MUHITDINOV

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce a quadratic stochastic operators on the set of all probability measures of a measurable space. We study the dynamics of the Lebesgue quadratic stochastic operator on the set of all Lebesgue measures of the set [0,1]. Namely, we prove the regularity of the Lebesgue quadratic stochastic operators.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 47HXX, 46TXX.
Key words: Quadratic operator, measurable space, Lebesgue nonlinear transformation.

1. Introduction

Quadratic stochastic operator (in short QSO) was first introduced in Bernstein's work [1]. The QSO was considered an important source of analysis for the study of dynamical properties and modeling in various fields such as biology [13, 14], physics [24], game theory [3], control system [22, 23]. Such operator frequently arises in many models of mathematical genetics [5, 6]. A fixed point set and an omega limiting set of quadratic stochastic operators defined on the finite dimensional simplex were deeply studied in [8, 11] and [15, 17]. Ergodicity and chaotic dynamics of QSO on the finite dimensional simplex were studied in the papers [3], [6, 7], [18, 21], and [25]. In [12], it was given a long self-contained exposition of recent achievements and open problems in the theory of quadratic stochastic operators. The analytic theory of stochastic processes generated by quadratic operators was established in [2]. In the paper [4], the nonlinear Poisson quadratic stochastic operators over the countable state space was studied. In this paper, we shall study the dynamics of Lebesgue quadratic stochastic operators on the set of all Lebesgue measures of the set [0,1]. Let us first recall some notions and notations (see [2],[4]).

Let $(X,\mathbb{F})$ be a measurable space and $S(X,\mathbb{F})$ be the set of all probability measures on $(X,\mathbb{F})$, where X is a state space and $\mathbb{F}$ is σ-algebra of subsets of X. It is known that the set $S(X,\mathbb{F})$ is a compact, convex space and a form of Dirac measure δ_x which defined by

$$\delta_x(A)=\begin{cases}1 & \text{if } x\in A\\ 0 & \text{if } x\notin A\end{cases}$$

for any $A\in\mathbb{B}$ is extremal element of $S(X,\mathbb{B})$.

Let $\{P(x,y,A): x,y\in X, A\in\mathbb{F}\}$ be a family of functions on $X\times X\times\mathbb{F}$ that satisfy the following conditions:

Date: January 11, 2016.

i) $P(x,y,\cdot)\in S(X,\mathbb{F})$, for any fixed $x,y\in X$, that is, $P(x,y,\cdot):\mathbb{F}\to[0,1]$ is the probability measure on $\mathbb{F}$;
ii) $P(x,y,A)$ regarded as a function of two variables x and y with fixed $A\in\mathbb{F}$ is measurable function on $(X\times X,\mathbb{F}\otimes\mathbb{F})$;
iii) $P(x,y,A)=P(y,x,A)$ for any $x,y\in X, A\in\mathbb{F}$.
We consider a nonlinear transformation (quadratic stochastic operator) $V:S(X,\mathbb{F})\to S(X,\mathbb{F})$ defined by

$$(V\lambda)(A)=\int_X\int_X P(x,y,A)d\lambda(x)d\lambda(x), \tag{1.1}$$

where $\lambda\in S(X,\mathbb{F})$ is an arbitrary initial probability measure and $A\in\mathbb{F}$ is an arbitrary measurable set.

Definition 1.1. *A probability measure μ on $(X,\mathbb{F})$ is said to be discrete, if there exists a countable set of elements $\{x_1,x_2,\cdots\}\subset X$, such that $\mu(\{x_i\})=p_i$ for $i=1,2,\cdots$, with $\sum_i p_i=1$. Then $\mu(X\setminus\{x_1,x_2,\cdots\})=0$ and for any $A\in\mathbb{F}, \mu(A)=\sum_{x_i\in A}\mu(\{x_i\})$.*

A family $\{P(x,y,A):x,y\in X,A\in\mathbb{F}\}$ on arbitrary state space X, such that for any $x,y\in X$ a measure $P(x,y,\cdot)$ is a discrete measure, is shown in the following example.
Example 1 Let $(X,\mathbb{F})$ be a measurable space. For any $x,y\in X$ and $A\in\mathbb{F}$, assume

$$P(x,y,A)=\begin{cases}0 & \text{if } x\notin A \text{ and } y\notin A,\\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } x\in A, y\notin A \text{ or } x\notin A, y\in A,\\ 1 & \text{if } x\in A \text{ and } y\in A.\end{cases}$$

Assume $\{V^n\lambda:n=0,1,2,\cdots\}$ is the trajectory of the initial point $\lambda\in S(X,\mathbb{F})$, where $V^{n+1}\lambda=V(V^n\lambda)$ for all $n=0,1,2,\cdots$, with $V^0\lambda=\lambda$.

Definition 1.2. *A quadratic stochastic operator V is called a regular (weak regular), if for any initial measure $\lambda\in S(X,\mathbb{F})$, the strong limit (respectively weak limit) $\lim_{n\to\infty}V^n(\lambda)=\mu$ exists.*

It is easy to verify that the quadratic stochastic operator V generated by this family is identity transformation, that is for any measure $\lambda\in S(X,\mathbb{F})$ we have $V\lambda=\lambda$. In fact, for any $A\in\mathbb{F}$,

$$\begin{aligned}V\lambda(A) &= \int_X\int_X P(x,y,A)d\lambda(x)d\lambda(y)=\int_A\int_A 1\cdot d\lambda(x)d\lambda(y)\\ &+ \int_A\int_{A^c}\frac{1}{2}\cdot d\lambda(x)d\lambda(y)+\int_{A^c}\int_A\frac{1}{2}\cdot d\lambda(x)d\lambda(y)+\int_{A^c}\int_{A^c}0\cdot d\lambda(x)d\lambda(y)\\ &= \lambda^2(A)+\frac{1}{2}\lambda(A)(1-\lambda(A))+\frac{1}{2}(1-\lambda(A))\lambda(A)=\lambda(A),\end{aligned}$$

where $A^c=X\setminus A$.
If a state space $X=\{1,2,\cdots,m\}$ is a finite set and corresponding σ-algebra is a power set $\mathcal{P}(X)$, i.e., the set of all subsets of X, then the set of all probability measures on

$(X, \mathcal{F})$ has the following form:

$$S^{m-1} = \{\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_m) \in R^m : x_i \geq 0 \text{ for any } i, \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i = 1\} \tag{1.2}$$

that is called a $(m-1)$-dimensional simplex.
In this case for any $i, j \in X$ a probabilistic measure $P(i, j, \cdot)$ is a discrete measure with $\sum_{k=1}^{m} P(ij, \{k\}) = 1$, where $P(ij, \{k\}) \equiv P_{ij,k}$ and corresponding qso V has the following form

$$(V\mathbf{x})_k = \sum_{i,j=1}^{m} P_{ij,k} x_i x_j \tag{1.3}$$

for any $\mathbf{x} \in S^{m-1}$ and for all $k = 1, \cdots, m$, where

$$a) P_{ij,k} \geq 0, \quad b) P_{ij,k} = P_{ji,k} \text{ for all } i, j, k; \quad c) \sum_{k=1}^{m} P_{ij,k} = 1.$$

Such operator can be reinterpreted in terms of evolutionary operator of free population and in this form it has a fair history. Note that the theory of qso with finite state space is well developed and on the whole all well-known papers devoted to such qso [1]-[3], [5]-[25]. In [4] the authors studied qso with infinite countable state space.
In this paper we construct the family of quadratic stochastic operators defined on the continual state space $X = [0, 1)$ and investigate their trajectory behavior.

Definition 1.3. *A transformation V is called a Lebesgue qso, if $X = [0, 1)$ and $\mathbb{F}$ is a Borel σ-algebra $\mathbb{B}$ on $[0, 1)$.*

In the next section we present a family of Lebesgue qso.

2. A construction of Lebesgue qso

Let $X = [0, 1)$ and $\mathbb{B}$ is a Borel σ-algebra on $[0, 1)$. For any element $(x, y) \in X \times X$, we define a discrete probability $P(x, y, \cdot)$ as follows:

$$(i) \quad \text{for} \quad x < y \quad \text{assume} \quad P(x, y, \{x\}) = p \quad \text{and} \quad P(x, y, \{y\}) = p, \tag{2.1}$$

$$(ii) \quad \text{for} \quad x = y \quad \text{assume} \quad P(x, x, \{x\}) = 1, \tag{2.2}$$

$$(iii) \quad \text{for} \quad x > y \quad \text{assume} \quad P(y, x, \cdot) = P(x, y, \cdot) \tag{2.3}$$

where $p + q = 1$, with $p \geq 0$ and $q \geq 0$.
Let V is a quadratic stochastic operator

$$(V\lambda)(A) = \int_X \int_X P(x, y, A) d\lambda(x) d\lambda(x), \tag{2.4}$$

generated by family of functions (2.1)-(2.3), where $\lambda \in S(X, \mathbb{F})$ is an arbitrary initial probability measure and $A \in \mathbb{F}$ is an arbitrary measurable set. This operator is a natural generalization of Volterra qso. Note that if $p = q = 0.5$, then the corresponding operator is the identity operator.

We show that for any initial measure $\lambda \in S(X,\mathbb{F})$, there exists strong limit of the sequence $\{V^n\lambda : n = 0,1,2,\cdots\}$.

3. A Limit behaviour of the trajectories

In this section we study the limit behaviour of the trajectory $\{V^n\lambda : n = 0,1,2,\cdots\}$ for any initial measure $\lambda \in S(X,\mathbb{F})$.

3.1. **A discrete initial measure λ.** It is easy to verify that for any $a \in [0,1)$ an extremal Dirac measure δ_a is a fixed point of the operator V. Since $\delta_a(\{a\}) = 1$, then from (2.2) we have

$$(V\delta_a)(\{a\}) = \int_X\int_X P(x,y,\{a\})d\delta_a(x)d\delta_a(y) = P(a,a,\{a\}) = 1 = \delta_a(\{a\}), \tag{3.1}$$

that is the Dirac measure δ_a for any $a \in [0,1)$ is a fixed point.
Let a measure λ is a convex linear combination of two Dirac measures δ_a and δ_b , i.e., $\lambda = \alpha\delta_a + (1-\alpha)\delta_b$, where $\alpha \in [0,1]$ and $a,b \in [0,1]$ with $a < b$. Simple algebra gives

$$(V\lambda)(\{a\}) = \int_X\int_X P(x,y,\{a\})d\lambda(x)d\lambda(y) = \lambda(a)[\lambda(a) + 2p\lambda(b)], \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$(V\lambda)(\{b\}) = \int_X\int_X P(x,y,\{b\})d\lambda(x)d\lambda(y) = \lambda(b)[\lambda(b) + 2q\lambda(a)], \tag{3.3}$$

i.e., $V\lambda$ is the convex linear of the same two Dirac measures δ_a and δ_b with

$$V\lambda = \alpha_1\delta_a + (1-\alpha_1)\delta_b,$$

where $\alpha_1 = \alpha[\alpha + 2p(1-\alpha)]$ and $1-\alpha_1 = (1-\alpha)[1-\alpha+2q\alpha]$. Then it is evident that $V^2\lambda$ is the convex linear of the same two Dirac measures δ_a and δ_b with

$$V^2\lambda = \alpha_2\delta_a + (1-\alpha_2)\delta_b,$$

where $\alpha_2 = \alpha_1[\alpha_1 + 2p(1-\alpha_1)]$ and $1-\alpha_2 = (1-\alpha_1)[1-\alpha_1+2q\alpha_1]$. Thus one can show that $V^n\lambda$ is the convex linear of the same two Dirac measures δ_a and δ_b with

$$V^n\lambda = \alpha_n\delta_a + (1-\alpha_n)\delta_b,$$

where $\alpha_n = \alpha_{n-1}[\alpha_{n-1} + 2p(1-\alpha_{n-1})]$ and $1-\alpha_n = (1-\alpha_{n-1})[1-\alpha_{n-1}+2q\alpha_{n-1}]$. Simple calculus gives

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\alpha_n = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p > \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & \text{if } p < \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$

that is

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}V^n\lambda = \begin{cases} \delta_a & \text{if } p > \frac{1}{2} \\ \delta_b & \text{if } p < \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$

Let now a measure λ is a convex linear combination of three Dirac measures δ_a, δ_b and δ_c, i.e., $\lambda = \alpha\delta_a + \beta\delta_b + (1-\alpha-\beta)\delta_c$, where $\alpha,\beta \in [0,1]$ and $a,b,c \in [0,1]$ with $\alpha+\beta \le 1$ and $a < b < c$. Simple algebra gives

$$(V\lambda)(\{a\}) = \int_X\int_X P(x,y,\{a\})d\lambda(x)d\lambda(y) = \lambda(a)[\lambda(a) + 2p(\lambda(b)+\lambda(c)], \tag{3.4}$$

$$(V\lambda)(\{b\}) = \int_X \int_X P(x, y, \{a\}) d\lambda(x) d\lambda(y) = \lambda(b)[\lambda(b) + 2q\lambda(a) + 2p\lambda(c)], \tag{3.5}$$

and

$$(V\lambda)(\{c\}) = \int_X \int_X P(x, y, \{a\}) d\lambda(x) d\lambda(y) = \lambda(c)[\lambda(c) + 2q(\lambda(a) + \lambda(b)], \tag{3.6}$$

i.e., $V\lambda$ is the convex linear of the same three Dirac measures δ_a, δ_b and δ_c with

$$V\lambda = \alpha_1\delta_a + \beta_1\delta_b + (1 - \alpha_1 - \beta_1)\delta_c,$$

where $\alpha_1 = \alpha[\alpha + 2p(1 - \alpha)], \beta_1 = \beta[\beta + 2q\alpha + 2p(1 - \alpha - \beta)]$ and $1 - \alpha_1 - \beta_1 = (1 - \alpha - \beta)[1 - \alpha - \beta + 2q(1 - \alpha - \beta]$. Then it is evident that $V^2\lambda$ is the convex linear of the same three Dirac measures δ_a, δ_b and δ_c, with $V^2\lambda = \alpha_2\delta_a + \beta_2\delta_b + (1 - \alpha_2 - \beta_2)\delta_c$, where $\alpha_2 = \alpha_1[\alpha_1 + 2p(1 - \alpha_1)], \beta_2 = \beta_1[\beta_1 + 2q\alpha_1 + 2p(1 - \alpha_1 - \beta_1)]$ and $1 - \alpha_2 - \beta_2 = (1 - \alpha_1 - \beta_1)[1 - \alpha_1 - \beta_1 + 2q(1 - \alpha_1 - \beta_1]$. Thus one can show that $V^n\lambda$ is the convex linear of the same three Dirac measures δ_a, δ_b and δ_c with

$$V^n\lambda = \alpha_n\delta_a + \beta_n\delta_b + (1 - \alpha_n - \beta_n)\delta_c,$$

where $\alpha_n = \alpha_{n-1}[\alpha_{n-1} + 2p(1 - \alpha_{n-1})], \beta_n = \beta_{n-1}[\beta_{n-1} + 2q\alpha_{n-1} + 2p(1 - \alpha_{n-1} - \beta_{n-1})]$ and $1 - \alpha_n - \beta_n = (1 - \alpha_{n-1} - \beta_{n-1})[1 - \alpha_{n-1} - \beta_{n-1} + 2q(1 - \alpha_{n-1} - \beta_{n-1}]$. As noted above if $p > \frac{1}{2}$ then $\lim_{n\to\infty} \alpha_n = 1$ and if $p < \frac{1}{2}$ then $\lim_{n\to\infty}(1 - \alpha_n - \beta_n) = 1$ that is

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} V^n\lambda = \begin{cases} \delta_a & \text{if } p > \frac{1}{2} \\ \delta_c & \text{if } p < \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$

Let a measure λ is a convex linear combination of n Dirac measures $\{\delta_{a_i}, i = 1, \cdots, n\}$ i.e., $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i\delta_{a_i}$, where $\alpha_i \in [0, 1], i = 1, \cdots, n$ and $a_i \in [0, 1], i = 1, \cdots, n$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha = 1$ and $a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_n$. Simple algebra gives

$$(V\lambda)(\{a_1\}) = \int_X \int_X P(x, y, \{a_1\}) d\lambda(x) d\lambda(y) = \lambda(a_1)[\lambda(a_1) + 2p(1 - \lambda(a_1))], \tag{3.7}$$

and

$$(V\lambda)(\{a_n\}) = \int_X \int_X P(x, y, \{a_n\}) d\lambda(x) d\lambda(y) = \lambda(a_n)[\lambda(a_n) + 2q(1 - \lambda(a_n))], \tag{3.8}$$

As shown above we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} V^n\lambda = \begin{cases} \delta_{a_1} & \text{if } p > \frac{1}{2} \\ \delta_{a_n} & \text{if } p < \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$

3.2. **A continuous initial measure λ.** Let $\lambda \in S(X,\mathbb{B})$ be a continuous probability measure and $A=[a,b]\in\mathbb{B}$ be a segment in X with $A^c=[0,a)\cup(b,1)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} V\lambda(A) &= \int_a^b\int_a^b 1\cdot d\lambda(x)d\lambda(y)+\int_a^b\int_0^a p\cdot d\lambda(x)d\lambda(y)+\int_a^b\int_b^1 q\cdot d\lambda(x)d\lambda(y)\\ &+ \int_0^a\int_a^b p\cdot d\lambda(x)d\lambda(y)+\int_b^1\int_a^b q\cdot d\lambda(x)d\lambda(y)+\int_0^a\int_0^a 0\cdot d\lambda(x)d\lambda(y)\\ &+ \int_0^a\int_b^1 0\cdot d\lambda(x)d\lambda(y)+\int_b^1\int_0^a 0\cdot d\lambda(x)d\lambda(y)+\int_b^1\int_b^1 0\cdot d\lambda(x)d\lambda(y)\\ &= \lambda([a,b])\left[\lambda([a,b])+2p\lambda([0,a))+2q\lambda((b,1))\right]. \end{aligned}$$

It is evident that the measure $V\lambda$ is absolutely continuous with respect to measure λ. Then according Radon-Nikodym Theorem, there exists non-negative measurable function $f_\lambda^{(1)}: X\to R$ called a density, such that

$$V\lambda(A)=\int_A f_\lambda^{(1)}(x)d\lambda(x). \tag{3.9}$$

The derivations of the density functions are presented as follows. For rather small segment $[x,x+\Delta x]$ we have

$$V\lambda([x,x+\Delta x])=\lambda([x,x+\Delta x])[\lambda([x,x+\Delta x])+2p\lambda([0,x))+2q\lambda((x+\Delta x,1))] \tag{3.10}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f_\lambda^{(1)}(x) &= \lim_{\Delta x\to 0}\frac{V\lambda([x,x+\Delta x])}{\lambda([x,x+\Delta x])}\\ &= \lim_{\Delta x\to 0}[\lambda([x,x+\Delta x])+2p\lambda([0,x))+2q\lambda((x+\Delta x,1))]\\ &= 2p\lambda([0,x))+2q\lambda((x,1)). \end{aligned}$$

Now consider a measure $V^2\lambda=V(V\lambda)$. It is evident that

$$V^2\lambda(A)=\int_A f_{V\lambda}^{(1)}(x)dV\lambda(x). \tag{3.11}$$

and since $V^2\lambda$ is absolutely continuous with respect to measure λ, we have

$$V^2\lambda(A)=\int_A f_\lambda^{(2)}(x)d\lambda(x). \tag{3.12}$$

According (2.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &V^2\lambda([x,x+\Delta x])\\ &=V\lambda([x,x+\Delta x])[V\lambda([x,x+\Delta x])+2pV\lambda([0,x))+2qV\lambda((x+\Delta x,1))]\\ &=\lambda([x,x+\Delta x])[\lambda([x,x+\Delta x])+2p\lambda([0,x))+2q\lambda((x+\Delta x,1))]\\ &\quad\cdot\{\lambda([x,x+\Delta x])[\lambda([x,x+\Delta x])+2p\lambda([0,x))+2q\lambda((x+\Delta x,1))]\\ &\quad+2p\lambda([0,x])[\lambda([0,x])+2q\lambda((x,1))]+\\ &\quad+2q\lambda([x+\Delta x,1))[\lambda([x+\Delta x,1))+2p\lambda([0,x+\Delta x))\} \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} f_\lambda^{(2)}(x) &= [2p\lambda([0,x)) + 2q\lambda([x,1))] \\ &\quad \cdot\{2p\lambda([0,x])[\lambda([0,x)) + 2q\lambda([x,1))] + 2q\lambda([x,1))[\lambda([x,1)) + 2p\lambda([0,x])\}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, one can show that a measure $V^n\lambda$ is absolutely continuous with respect to λ for any n and

$$V^n\lambda(A) = \int_A f_\lambda^{(n)}(x)d\lambda(x). \tag{3.13}$$

Let $g_\lambda(x) = \lambda([0,x))$ and $g_\lambda^{(n)}(x) = g_\lambda^{(n-1)}(x)(g_\lambda^{(n-1)}(x) + 2q(1 - g_\lambda^{(n-1)}(x))$, for $n = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$, where $g_\lambda^{(0)}(x) = x$ and $g_\lambda^{(1)}(x) = g_\lambda(x)$. It is evident that

$$1 - g_\lambda^{(n)}(x) = (1 - g_\lambda^{(n-1)}(x))(1 - g_\lambda^{(n-1)}(x) + 2pg_\lambda^{(n-1)}(x)).$$

Then, since $\lambda([x,1)) = 1 - \lambda([0,x))$, we have

$$f(x) = 2px + 2q(1-x), \tag{3.14}$$

$$f_\lambda^{(1)}(x) = f(g_\lambda^{(1)}(x)), \tag{3.15}$$

and

$$f_\lambda^{(2)}(x) = f(g_\lambda^{(1)}(x)) \cdot f(g_\lambda^{(2)}(x)). \tag{3.16}$$

Using induction, one can prove that for any n we have

$$f_\lambda^{(n)}(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} f(g_\lambda^{(i)}(x)). \tag{3.17}$$

It is easy to see that $f_\lambda^{(n)}(0) = (2q)^n$ and $f_\lambda^{(n)}(1) = (2p)^n$. Since

$$\int_0^1 f_\lambda^{(n)}(x)d\lambda(x) = 1,$$

one has that

$$f_\lambda^{(n)}(0) \to 0 \quad \text{and} \quad f_\lambda^{(n)}(1) \to \infty \quad \text{if } p > 1/2, \tag{3.18}$$

and

$$f_\lambda^{(n)}(0) \to \infty \quad \text{and} \quad f_\lambda^{(n)}(1) \to 0 \quad \text{if } p < 1/2. \tag{3.19}$$

If $\lambda = m$ is a usual Lebesgue measure on $[0,1]$, then $m([0,x)) = x$ and $g_m^{(1)}(x) = x$. In this case one can explicitly find the functions $f_m^{(n)}(x)$ for any n.

4. Regularity of Lebesgue qso

Now, we are aiming to study the limit behavior of the Radon-Nikodym derivatives $f_\lambda^{(n)}(\cdot)$ for $n \to \infty$. Let $G(x) = x(x + 2q(1-x))$ for $p, q \geq 0$ and $p + q = 1$. We always assume that $p, q \neq \frac{1}{2}$. One can easily check that $G'(x) = f(x)$ and $G''(x) = f'(x) = 2(p-q)$. Since $f(x) \geq 0$ for any $x \in [0,1]$, the function $G : [0,1] \to [0,1]$ is increasing. Moreover, the function $f : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is increasing whenever $p > q$ (or equivalently $p > \frac{1}{2}$) and decreasing whenever $p < q$ (or equivalently $p < \frac{1}{2}$).

It is easy to check that $g_\lambda^{(n)}(x) = G\left(g_\lambda^{(n-1)}(x)\right)$. We know that $g_\lambda : [0,1] \to [0,1]$, $g_\lambda(x) = \lambda([0,x))$ is the increasing function. We suppose that the function $g_\lambda : [0,1] \to [0,1]$ is also differentiable. It is clear that

$$(g_\lambda^{(n)}(x))' = G'\left(g_\lambda^{(n-1)}(x)\right) \cdot (g_\lambda^{(n-1)}(x))'$$

Consequently, $g_\lambda^{(n)} : [0,1] \to [0,1]$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, are differentiable and increasing functions.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $f_\lambda^{(n)} : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}_+$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be functions given by* (3.17)*. Then, the function $f_\lambda^{(n)}$ is increasing whenever $p > \frac{1}{2}$ and decreasing whenever $p < \frac{1}{2}$.*

Proof. We know that

$$(f_\lambda^{(n)}(x))' = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(\prod_{i=1,\ i\neq k}^{n} f(g^i)_\lambda(x) \right) \cdot f'(g_\lambda^{(k)}(x)) \cdot \left(g_\lambda^{(k)}(x)\right)'.$$

Since $\prod\limits_{i=1,\ i\neq k}^{n} f(g^i)_\lambda(x) \geq 0$ and $\left(g_\lambda^{(k)}(x)\right)' \geq 0$, the function $f_\lambda^{(n)}$ is increasing whenever $p > \frac{1}{2}$ and decreasing whenever $p < \frac{1}{2}$. This completes the proof. □

Let $p > \frac{1}{2}$ and $\beta_n = \frac{1-\frac{1}{n}}{\sqrt[n-1]{16p^4}}$. It is clear that $0 < \beta_n < 1$ and $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} \beta_n = 1$. So, since $2q < 1$, one has that $\beta_n > 2q$ for large n. Moreover, we have that $2p\sqrt{\beta_n^{n-1}} < \frac{1}{2p} < 1$.

Let $B_n = \frac{\beta_n - 2q}{1-2q}$. One has that $0 < B_n < 1$ and $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} B_n = 1$.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $p > \frac{1}{2}$ and β_n, B_n be given as above. The following statements hold true:*

(i) One has that $G(x) \leq \beta_n x$ for any $x \in [0, B_n]$;
(ii) One has that $G[0, B_n] = [0, \beta_n B_n] \subset [0, B_n]$.

Proof. Since $0 \leq x \leq B_n = \frac{\beta_n - 2q}{1-2q}$, we have that $x + 2q(1-x) \leq \beta_n$ or equivalently $G(x) \leq \beta_n x$. On the other hand, since $G(x)$ is the increasing function, we get that $0 = G(0) \leq G(x) \leq G(B_n) = \beta_n B_n < B_n$. □

Corollary 4.3. *Let $p > \frac{1}{2}$. One has that $0 \leq g_\lambda^{(i)}(x) \leq \beta_n^{i-1} g_\lambda(x),\ \forall\ x \in [0, B_n], i = \overline{2,n}$.*

Theorem 4.4. *Let $p > \frac{1}{2}$. One has that $0 \le f_\lambda^{(n)}(x) \le (\frac{1}{2p})^n$ for any $x \in [0, B_n]$.*

Proof. We know that $f_\lambda^{(n)}(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} f(g_\lambda^{(i)}(x))$. Due to Corollary 4.3, since f is the increasing linear function, we then obtain for any $x \in [0, B_n]$ that

$$f_\lambda^{(n)}(x) \le \prod_{i=1}^{n} \beta_n^{i-1} f(g_\lambda(x)) = \left(\sqrt{\beta_n^{n-1}} f(g_\lambda(x))\right)^n.$$

Since $2q \le f(g_\lambda(x)) \le 2p$, we have that $0 \le f_\lambda^{(n)}(x) \le \left(2p\sqrt{\beta_n^{n-1}}\right)^n \le \left(\frac{1}{2p}\right)^n$ for any $x \in [0, B_n]$. This completes the proof. □

Similarly, one can prove the following result.

Theorem 4.5. *Let $p < \frac{1}{2}$. There exists $0 < A_n < 1$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} A_n = 0$ and $0 \le f_\lambda^{(n)}(x) \le (\frac{1}{2q})^n$ for any $x \in [A_n, 1]$.*

Hence, the sequence of functions $f_\lambda^{(n)}(x)$ has a tall spike at the end points of the segment $[0, 1]$ whenever $p, q \ne \frac{1}{2}$. Consequently, the (weak) limit of this sequence of the functions $f_\lambda^{(n)}(x)$ is the Dirac delta function concentrated at the end points of the segment $[0, 1]$.

Theorem 4.6. *Let V be a qso generated by family of functions (2.1)-(2.3). Then for any initial continuous measure $\lambda \in S(X, \mathbb{B})$ there exists a strong limit of the sequence of measures $\{V^k\lambda\}$ where*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} V^n\lambda = \begin{cases} \delta_0 & \text{if } p < \frac{1}{2} \\ \delta_1 & \text{if } p > \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Recall that if $p = q = \frac{1}{2}$, then the corresponding qso is the identity transformation.

Corollary 4.7. *The Lebesgue quadratic stochastic operator V generated by family of functions (2.1)-(2.3) is a regular transformation.*

Acknowledgement

The work has been supported by the MOHE grant FRGS14-116-0357. The third author (R.M.) wishes to thank International Islamic University Malaysia (IIUM), where this paper was written, for the invitation and hospitality.

References

[1] S.N. Bernstein, The solution of a mathematical problem related to the theory of heredity, *Uchn. Zapiski. NI Kaf. Ukr. Otd. Mat.* **1**, (1924) 83–115 (Russian).

[2] N. Ganikhodjaev, On stochastic precesses generated by quadratic operators, *Journal of Theo Prob* **4**, (1991) 639-653.

[3] N. Ganikhodjaev, R. Ganikhodjaev, U. Jamilov, Quadratic stochastic operators and zero-sum game dynamics. *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*, (In Press).

[4] N. Ganikhodjaev, N. Z. A. Hamzah, On Poisson Nonlinear Transformations, *The Scientific World Journal*, vol. 2014, Article ID 832861, 7 pages, 2014.
[5] N. Ganikhodjaev, M. Saburov, U. Jamilov Mendelian and non-Mendelian quadratic operators. *App Math Info Sci* **7**, (2013) 1721–1729.
[6] N. Ganikhodjaev, M. Saburov, A.M. Nawi Mutation and chaos in nonlinear models of heredity. *The Scientific World Journal*, **2014**, (2014) 1-11.
[7] N. Ganikhodjaev, D. Zanin, On a necessary condition for the ergodicity of quadratic operators defined on the two-dimensional simplex, *Russian Math. Surveys* **59(3)**, (2004) 571–572.
[8] R. Ganikhodzhaev, A family of quadratic stochastic operators that act in S^2, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk Uz* **1**, (1989) 3–5.
[9] R. Ganikhodzhaev, Quadratic stochastic operators, Lyapunov function and tournaments, *Acad. Sci. Sb.Math.* **76(2)**, (1993) 489–506.
[10] R. Ganikhodzhaev, A chart of fixed points and Lyapunov functions for a class of discrete dynamical systems, *Math. Notes* **56(5-6)**, (1994) 1125–1131.
[11] R. Ganikhodzhaev, D. Eshmamatova, Quadratic automorphisms of a simplex and the asymptotic behavior of their trajectories, *Vladikavkaz. Mat. Zh.* **8(2)**, (2006) 12–28 (Russian).
[12] R. Ganikhodzhaev, F. Mukhamedov, U. Rozikov, Quadratic stochastic operators: Results and open problems, *Infinite Dimensional Analysis, Quantum Probability and Related Topics* **14(2)**, (2011) 279–335.
[13] H. Kesten, Quadratic transformations: A model for population growth I, *Adv. App. Prob.* **2**, (1970) 1–82.
[14] Yu. Lyubich, *Mathematical structures in population genetics* (Springer-Verlag, 1992).
[15] F. Mukhamedov, M. Saburov On homotopy of volterrian quadratic stochastic operator. *App Math Info Sci* **4**, (2010) 47–62.
[16] F. Mukhamedov, M. Saburov On dynamics of Lotka-Volterra type operators. *Bull. Malay. Math. Sci. Soc* **37**, (2014) 59–64.
[17] F. Mukhamedov, M. Saburov, I. Qaralleh On $\xi^{(s)}-$quadratic stochastic operators on two-dimensional simplex and their behavior. *Abstract and Applied Analysis* **2013**, (2013) 1–12.
[18] M. Saburov, On ergodic theorem for quadratic stochastic operators, *Dokl. Acad. N. Rep. Uz.* **6**, (2007) 8-11.
[19] M. Saburov, The Li-Yorke chaos in quadratic stochastic Volterra operators, *Proceedings of International Conference of Application Science & Technology,* (2012) 54–55.
[20] M. Saburov, On regularity, transitivity, and ergodic principle for quadratic stochastic Volterra operators, *Dokl. Acad. Nauk Rep. Uzb.* **3**, (2012) 9–12.
[21] M. Saburov, Some strange properties of quadratic stochastic Volterra operators, *World Applied Science Journal* **21**, (2013) 94–97.
[22] M. Saburov, Kh. Saburov Mathematical models of nonlinear uniform consensus. *ScienceAsia* **40 (4)**, (2014) 306–312
[23] M. Saburov , Kh. Saburov Reaching a nonlinear consensus: Polynomial stochastic operators. *International Journal of Control, Automation and Systems* **12 (6)**, (2014) 1276–1282
[24] S. Ulam, *A collection of mathematical problems* (New-York-London 1960).
[25] M. Zakharevich, On behavior of trajectories and the ergodic hypothesis for quadratic transformations of the simplex, *Russian Math.Surveys* **33(6)**, (1978) 265-266.

Nasir Ganikhodjaev, Department of Computational & Theoretical Sciences, Faculty of Science, International Islamic University Malaysia, P.O. Box 25200, Kuantan, Pahang, Malaysia
E-mail address: nasirgani@hotmail.com

Mansoor Saburov, Department of Computational & Theoretical Sciences, Faculty of Science, International Islamic University Malaysia, P.O. Box 25200, Kuantan, Pahang, Malaysia
E-mail address: msaburovm@gmail.com

Ramazon Muhitdinov, Bukhara State University, Uzbekistan.
E-mail address: muxitdinov-ramazon@rambler.ru